


BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY



3 1761 03324 3668

FAMILY LAW

Cases and Materials

VOLUME III

**Carol Rogerson
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto**

1991-92

Prepared with the assistance of Ranald Sinclair and Ellen Schwartz

**THESE MATERIALS ARE DISTRIBUTED SOLELY FOR CLASSROOM USE
BY STUDENTS IN THE FACULTY OF LAW, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO.**

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

OCT 23 1991

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

FAMILY LAW

Cases and Materials

VOLUME III

Carol Rogerson
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

1991-92

Prepared with the assistance of Ranald Sinclair and Ella Schwartz

THESE MATERIALS ARE DISTRIBUTED SOLELY FOR CLASSROOM USE
BY STUDENTS IN THE FACULTY OF LAW, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO.



Printed on
Recycled Paper



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/familylawcasesma03roge_4

FAMILY LAW
TABLE OF CONTENTS
VOLUME III

	<u>Page</u>
VIII. DOMESTIC CONTRACTS	
A. <u>Introduction: Contracting in the Family Context</u>	
<u>Balfour v. Balfour</u>	955
O'Donovan, "Reforming the Private" from <u>Sexual Divisions in Law</u>	956
Mnookin, "Divorce Bargaining: the Limits on Private Ordering"	962
B. <u>Limits on Contracting</u>	
a) <u>Overview</u>	
Mesbur, "Domestic Contracts"	966
b) <u>Validity</u>	
Introductory Note	974
<u>Lindsay v. Lindsay</u>	974
<u>Crouse v. Crouse</u>	980
Note: <u>Heaton v. Heaton</u> ; <u>Currie v. Currie</u>	984
<u>Cadieu v. Cadieu</u>	985
<u>Puopolo v. Puopolo</u>	986
Majury, "Unconscionability in an Equality Context"	989
c) <u>Statutory Powers to Set Aside and Vary</u>	
(i) <u>Introduction</u>	
Note	996
<u>Clarke v. Clarke</u>	997
(ii) <u>The Pelech Trilogy</u>	
Note: <u>Pelech, Richardson</u>	1003
<u>Caron v. Caron</u>	1003
Bailey, " <u>Pelech, Caron and Richardson</u> "	1004
Cossman, "A Matter of Difference: Domestic Contracts and Gender Equality"	1008

(iii) Post-Pelech: Variation Requests by
Wives (Payees)

Note: Fyffe; Marshall; Isaacson

1010

(iv) Post-Pelech: Variation Requests by
Husbands (Payors)

Note: Leman; Ritchie

1012

Bailey, "Pelech, Richardson and Caron"

1014

Hood v. Hood

1015

Chant v. Chant (Lawyer's Weekly)

1022

IX. CHILD SUPPORT

A. Defining the Parent-Child Relationship

a) Establishing Paternity

Re Rhan and Pinsonneault

1023

K.P. v. P.N.

1026

Note: Status of the Unmarried
Father

1028

b) In Loco Parentis

Primeau v. Primeau

1031

Ogden v. Anderson

1037

Carignan v. Carignan

1038

Grohmann v. Grohmann

1048

Hart v. Hart and Boyce

1051

c) Age Limits

Toronto Star, "Back to the nest"

1054

Chaban v. Chaban

1055

Duncan v. Duncan

1056

Note: Thompson v. Thompson

1060

Smith v. Smith

1061

Note: Rosenthal v. Rosenthal

1063

B. Calculation of Child Support

a) Introduction

Blown and Milliken, "The Failure of the
Legal System to Protect the Economic
Rights of Children"

1064

Department of Justice, Evaluation of
the Divorce Act

1070

	Eekelaar and Maclean, "The Evolution of Private Law Maintenance Obligations"	1076
b)	<u>The Paras Formula</u>	
	<u>Paras v. Paras</u>	1078
	<u>Giles v. Giles and Woods</u>	1082
	<u>Friesen v. Friesen</u>	1084
	<u>Archer v. Cornfoot</u>	1088
	<u>MacMillan v. MacMillan</u>	1090
	<u>Lucas v. Lucas</u>	1094
	<u>Harper v. Harper</u>	1097
	<u>Moosa v. Moosa</u>	1101
	Note: <u>Duffield v. Duffield</u>	1103
	Note: Contributions of the Custodial Parent Under the Paras Formula	1104
	Further Reading	1104
c)	<u>Reform of Child Support Law</u>	
	Pask, "The Effect of Maintenance of Custody Sharing"	1105
	Note	1106
d)	<u>Domestic Contracts and Child Support</u>	
	<u>Silverman v. Silverman</u>	1107
	<u>Thomson v. Thomson</u>	1110
e)	<u>Child Support and Access</u>	
	<u>Twaddle v. Twaddle</u>	1113
	<u>Lee v. Lee</u>	1116
	Note: <u>Turecki</u> ; <u>Brownell</u> ; <u>Harrison</u>	1120
f)	<u>Variation of Child Support</u>	
	Eekelaar, <u>Family Law and Social Policy</u>	1121
	Maclean, "Households after Divorce"	1126
	<u>Sawchyn v. Sawchyn</u>	1127
	<u>Storer v. Storer</u>	1131
	<u>R.(L.D.) v. R.(H.D.)</u>	1133
	<u>Johnston v. Haywood (Lawyer's Weekly)</u>	1135
	Note: <u>Zablocki v. Redhail</u>	1136
	<u>Abbot v. Taylor</u>	1137
	<u>Smith v. Rubin</u>	1139

X. ENFORCEMENT OF SUPPORT OBLIGATIONS

Steel, "An Overview of Provincial and Federal Maintenance Enforcement Legislation"	1141
<u>Globe and Mail</u> , "Men still escaping support payments despite stricter rules"	1150
<u>Globe and Mail</u> , "Ontario Cracks Down on Support Defaulters"	1151
<u>Money and Family Law</u> , "Ontario's New Support Enforcement Mechanism"	1152
Glendon, <u>Abortion and Divorce in Western Law</u>	1153

XI. CUSTODY

A. Introductiona) History and Terminology

Folberg, "Custody Overview"	1155
Department of Justice, <u>Evaluation of the Divorce Act</u>	1159

b) Psychological Perspectives on Custody

Goldstein, Freud and Solnit, <u>Beyond the Best Interests of the Child</u>	1162
Wallerstein and Kelly, <u>Surviving the Breakup</u>	1170
Bishop and Mitchell, "Children, Parents and Divorce"	1173
Payne, "The Dichotomy between Family Law and Family Crises on Marriage Breakdown"	1175

c) The Gender Politics of Custody

Boyd, "Women, Men and Relationships with Children: Is Equality Possible?"	1179
Gordon, "Multiple Meanings of Equality: A Case Study in Custody Litigation"	1184

B. Judicial Decisionmakinga) The Best Interests Principle

<u>Wakaluk v. Wakaluk</u>	1192
Mnookin, "Child Custody Adjudication: Judicial Functions in the Face of Indeterminacy"	1194

b) Procedural Aspects of Custody Decisionmaking

Sachs, "The Dejudicialization of Family Law: Mediation and Assessments"	1195
Chambers, "Rethinking the Substantive Rules for Custody Disputes in Divorce"	1201
McBean, "The Myth of Maternal Preference in Child Custody Cases"	1201
<u>Wakaluk v. Wakaluk</u>	1202
Abella, "Procedural Aspects of Arrangements for Children Upon Divorce"	1204
Note: <u>Reid v. Reid</u> ; <u>Young v. Young</u>	1206
Note: Judicial Responses to Children's Wishes	1207

c) Sole Custody Determinations(i) Status Quo

<u>Novic v. Novic</u>	1209
<u>Sherrett v. Sherrett</u>	1212
Note: Variation Applications (<u>Talbot v. Henry</u>)	1214

(ii) Tender Years Doctrine and Primary
Care-giver Presumption

Boyd, "Women, Men and Relationships with Children: Is Equality Possible?"	1215
<u>Talsky v. Talsky</u>	1217
<u>R. v. R.</u>	1223
<u>Klachefsky v. Brown</u>	1233
<u>Fishback v. Fishback</u>	1239
<u>Bell v. Kirk</u>	1245
Note: <u>Aviles v. Aviles</u>	1248
<u>Tyndale v. Tyndale</u>	1249
<u>Harden v. Harden</u>	1251
<u>D.A. v. E.H. (Lawyer's Weekly)</u>	1254
Boyd, "Women, Men and Relationships with Children: Is Equality Possible?"	1255
Boyd, "From Gender Specificity to Gender Neutrality? Ideologies in Canadian Child Custody Law"	1256

(iii) Violence and Abuse

<u>Globe and Mail</u> , "Mother Loses Custody After Move Into Shelter"	1260
<u>Young v. Young</u>	1261
Note: <u>Renaud v. Renaud</u>	1266
Myers, "Allegations of Child Sexual Abuse in Custody and Visitation Litigation"	1267

(iv) Sexual Orientation

<u>Bezaire v. Bezaire</u>	1271
Crean, "In the Name of the Fathers: The Story Behind Child Custody"	1277
Ehrcke, "Limiting Judicial Discretion in Custody Proceedings on Divorce"	1280
Note: <u>Saunders v. Saunders</u>	1283

d) Joint Custody

Folberg, "Joint Custody"	1284
Note: Recent Changes to California's Custody Legislation	1289
<u>Globe and Mail</u> , "Joint Custody Viable Option"	1290
Singer and Reynolds "A Dissent on Joint Custody"	1291
Bailey, "Unpacking the 'Rational Alternative'; A Critical Review of Family Mediation"	1302
Ryan, "Joint Custody in Canada: Time for a Second Look"	1304
Boyd, "Women, Men, and Relationships with Children: Is Equality Possible?"	1308
<u>Parsons v. Parsons</u>	1310
<u>Nurmi v. Nurmi</u>	1312
<u>Lewis v. Lewis</u>	1315
<u>Abbot v. Taylor</u>	1317
Department of Justice, <u>Evaluation of the Divorce Act</u>	1323
<u>Toronto Star</u> , "MPP calls custody laws sanctioned 'child-abuse'"	1327
Bill 95	1328

XII. ACCESS

A. General Principles of Accessa) Appropriateness of Access

<u>Rutherford v. Rutherford</u>	1332
Note: <u>Bourdon v. Casselman</u>	1335
<u>Michel v. Hanley</u>	1336
Note: <u>Katz v. Katz</u>	1337
<u>Globe and Mail</u> , "Parent access service is placed in jeopardy by ministries' dispute"	1339

b) Interference with Access

<u>Casement v. Casement</u>	1340
Note: <u>Harrison v. Harrison</u>	1342

	<u>Toronto Star, "U.S. court upholds badmouthing ban for divorced parents"</u>	1343
	<u>Tremblay v. Tremblay</u>	1344
	<u>Frame v. Smith</u>	1346
c)	<u>Rights of the Access Parent</u>	
	<u>Young v. Young</u>	1349
d)	<u>Mobility Rights of the Custodial Parent</u>	
	Epstein and Goodman, "Custody and Access", Bar Ad Course Materials	1368
	Niman, "Custody, Access and Parental Mobility Rights"	1369
	<u>Appleby v. Appleby</u>	1374
	<u>Carter v. Brooks</u>	1377
	<u>Sameroo v. Collins (Lawyer's Weekly)</u>	1382
	<u>Oldfield v. Oldfield (Lawyer's Weekly)</u>	1383
	Note: <u>Gilbert v. Gilbert; Daller v. Daller</u>	1384
e)	<u>Grandparents' Access</u>	
	Foster and Freed, "The Child's Right to Visit Grandparents"	1385
	<u>Milne v. Milne</u>	1390
	<u>Salter v. Borden</u>	1391

